



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/955,805	09/19/2001	Hidehiro Matsumoto	P/3117-28	1056	
75	90 11/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
Steven I. Weisburd, Esq.			SHAW, PELING ANDY		
Dickstein, Shap	iro, Morin & Oshinsky LI	LP ·			
1177 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
41st floor			2144		
New York, NY 10036-2714			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/955,805	MATSUMOTO, HIDEHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peling A. Shaw	2144		

		Peling A. Shaw	2144	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	D 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply wa this applicati places the a</li> </ol>	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ion, applicant must timely file one of the follow pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a No or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period no event, Examiner	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A however, will the statutory period for reply expire I r Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or DNTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time metable been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a set forth in (b) above	nay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date e date for purposes of determining the period of exa) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filing the Not	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp tice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The propos  (a) They r  (b) They r  (c) They a	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, raise new issues that would require further coraise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowere not deemed to place the application in befal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) 🗌 They p	oresent additional claims without canceling a E: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · ·	jected claims.	
5. Applicant's 6. Newly prop	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 reply has overcome the following rejection(s) osed or amended claim(s) would be also be seen as a large (s)	:		
how the new The status o Claim(s) allo Claim(s) obj Claim(s) reje	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is proof the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	THER EVIDENCE			
because app	or other evidence filed after a final action, bu plicant failed to provide a showing of good an ier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
entered because showing a g	or other evidence filed after the date of filing ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to co ood and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR F	it or other evidence is entered. An explanatio RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
See Contin	st for reconsideration has been considered bu nuation Sheet.			nce because:
12.	tached Information Disclosure Statement(s)		DAVID WILE UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	Y EXAMINER ER 2100
			L	

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant's arguments are considered, but not persuasive. Claims remain rejected as in the final action. The following notes is provided.

Applicant has alleged the condition of "having some degree of difficulty" as providing advertisement for fee to target used passing (paragraph 12 of applicant's publication, i.e. US 20020035632 A1) by using quiz (paragraph 28 of applicant's publication, i.e. US 20020035632 A1).

Jentoft has asked selected population to successfully complete predetermined tasks as cited in the action and further in claim 1 and provided "individualized information upon successful completion of said task" in claim 8.

The motivation of Jentoft is for marketing, polling, surveying or similar task in paragraph 4. Addition in paragraph 12, Jentoft cited again "member visit the website and register" and taking quiz (in paragraph 21).

As cited in Rubin (column 7, line 43-58), "...the registration process the user may be asked to respond to a series of questions relating to his/her background ..." and "...to personalize the content collected and provided to the user and/or to target ads to the user ...".

Both Jentoft and Rubin show registering users and providing special advertisement thereafter based upon the registration. Jentoft and Rubin disclosed all the limitation of applicant's claims 1, 6 and 11-12.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER